

Bipolar Jets and Orbital Dynamics of the Supersoft X-Ray Source **RX J0019.8+2156**

C. M. Becker, R. A. Remillard, S. A. Rappaport,

Center for Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

J. E. McClintock

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138

Received revised version, ApJ, 1998 May 5; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

Between 1994 July and 1997 February we monitored the optical spectrum of RX J0019.8+2156. This supersoft X-ray source is one of only two accreting white dwarfs in the Galaxy that are thought to be burning hydrogen on their surface as a consequence of a high rate of mass transfer from a binary companion. Accurate orbital ephemerides are derived from radial velocity measurements for the white dwarf, which are obtained from strong He II emission lines with a stable velocity semi-amplitude of $K = 71.2 \pm 3.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We report the discovery of transient, low-velocity, bipolar jets. These jets are represented by redshifted-blueshifted pairs of emission lines from H and He II with an outflow velocity of $v \cos(i) \sim 815 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, where i is the binary inclination angle. When present, the jet lines seen in $H\alpha$ also exhibit an orbital modulation of 71 km s^{-1} which strengthens the interpretation that this is the orbital velocity of the white dwarf and also indicates that the jets are oriented nearly perpendicular to the orbital plane. On most occasions, the H emission line profiles are further altered by P Cygni absorption effects, and the strength of this absorption is also dependent on binary phase. We show that the jets and the P Cygni features have very different temporal characteristics and binary phase dependence; thus, we conclude that the outflowing material and the absorbing wind must have essentially different geometries. Finally, the measured mass function is combined with binary evolution models to suggest a limit on the inclination angle, $i < 40^\circ$. A particular model invoked to explain a high rate of mass transfer requires $16^\circ < i < 25^\circ$. However, at such small inclination it is difficult to explain the large amplitude of the orbital light curve ($\sim 0.5 \text{ mag}$). Alternatively, the results could signify substantial vertical structure in the accretions disks of supersoft X-ray sources. By contrast, a simple model fit to the jet outflow lines indicates

an orbital inclination angle of $35^\circ < i < 60^\circ$.

Subject headings: stars:binaries:close, X-rays:stars, stars:cataclysmic variables

1. Introduction

Supersoft X-ray sources exhibit extremely steep spectra in the range of 0.1 - 2 keV. They can be divided into three general subclasses: low and high luminosity galactic objects, and AGN. In this paper we concern ourselves with luminous galactic supersoft X-ray sources (hereafter SXS), which have characteristic luminosities of $\sim 10^{36} - 10^{38}$ erg s $^{-1}$ and effective temperatures of $\sim 2-6 \times 10^5$ K ($kT \simeq 17 - 50$ eV). The first luminous supersoft sources were discovered during a survey of the Large Magellanic Cloud with the Einstein satellite (Long *et al.* 1981). The ROSAT X-ray survey (Voges 1993) has now established that the SXS constitute a distinct astronomical class. Greiner (1996) has cataloged and summarized the properties of 34 known SXS. Only seven of these objects are in the Galaxy, while seven are in the LMC, four are in the SMC, and sixteen have been located in M31.

A particular model for at least some of the long-lived SXS invokes steady or cyclical nuclear burning of matter on the surface of a $\sim 1 M_{\odot}$ white dwarf that is accreting mass from a main-sequence or subgiant companion star of $\sim 1 - 2 M_{\odot}$ at a rate of $3 \times 10^{-8} - 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$ yr $^{-1}$ (van den Heuvel *et al.* 1992, hereafter HBNR; Rappaport, DiStefano, & Smith 1994, hereafter RDS; and references therein). Such high transfer rates are a natural consequence of thermal-timescale mass transfer via Roche lobe overflow, which characteristically occurs in this type of system. The transfer is potentially unstable because the donor star is more massive than the accreting white dwarf; however, the rate of transfer is limited by the thermal time scale of the donor star.

Models for the evolution of these systems (RDS) indicate that the orbital periods should lie in the range of 8 hr to 1.4 d. Moreover, these studies predict that there should be some 10^3 such systems in the Galaxy and in M31. In spite of the greater distance, it is apparently easier to detect these supersoft sources (at least in the soft X-ray band) in nearby external galaxies at relatively high b^{II} , such as the LMC (-33°) and M31 (-21°).

In the plane of our own Galaxy, a hydrogen column density of only $\sim 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ will absorb most of the very soft X-radiation. In the Galactic plane this corresponds to distances of less than a kpc.

Five of the seven SXS in the Milky Way are *unlikely* to be the type of nuclear burning white dwarf (NBWD) discussed above. Two are recently exploded novae, two are symbiotic novae, and one is unclassified. Thus, there are only two good NBWD candidates in the Galaxy: RX J0925.7-4758 (Motch, Hasinger, & Pietsch 1994) and RX J0019.8+2156 (hereafter RXJ0019; Beuermann *et al.* 1995). Since RX J0925.7-4758 is obscured by the Vela Sheet molecular cloud, and its intrinsic optical spectrum is heavily reddened (Motch, Hasinger, & Pietsch 1994; Grabelsky *et al.* 1987), RXJ0019 remains as the one prime galactic NBWD candidate for detailed optical study.

Beuermann *et al.* (1995) discovered RXJ0019 as part of the ROSAT all-sky survey in 1990 December. They subsequently initiated a battery of short ROSAT PSPC, ROSAT HRI, IUE, and optical observations in 1992-93 (Beuermann *et al.* 1995). The following is a brief summary of their results. The optical counterpart to RXJ0019 is a moderately bright object with $V = 12.2$. The light curves of the X-ray, optical, and UV data show modulations with a period of 15.85 hr, interpreted as the binary period, while smaller, aperiodic fluctuations are seen on time scales of hours. They adopt a distance to RXJ0019 of 2 kpc on the basis of the calcium absorption features and the X-ray column density ($N_H \sim 4.4 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). The X-ray spectrum is extremely soft and luminous. For an assumed LTE model atmosphere (van Teeseling, Heise, & Paerels 1994), the ROSAT spectrum yields $kT = 34 \text{ eV}$ and an estimated bolometric luminosity, $L_{bol} \simeq 4 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ with significant uncertainty caused by the limited spectral constraints. The optical spectrum shows strong hydrogen lines, exceptionally strong He II emission, and P Cygni absorption associated with the Balmer lines. Beuermann *et al.* also report that the radial velocity of the He II $\lambda 4686 \text{ \AA}$

line is modulated at the orbital period with a semi-amplitude of $67 \pm 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Greiner & Wenzel (1995) examined archival photographic plates dating between 1890 and 1990 from the Harvard plate stacks and the Sonnenberg Observatory. They detected the orbital period, low amplitude variations on time scales as short as 2 hr, and ~ 1 mag variations over intervals of ~ 20 years.

Will & Barwig (1996) studied RXJ0019 with UBVRI photometry from 1992 to 1995 using a multichannel photometer on the Wendelstein Observatory 80-cm telescope. RXJ0019 shows both primary and secondary minima; the primary minimum is a $\sim 0.5^{\text{m}}$ eclipse which covers nearly 40% of the orbital phase (FWHM). Will & Barwig’s analysis of mid-eclipse times provides an accurate orbital ephemeris with a period of 15.85133 ± 0.00017 hr.

Gänsicke, Beuermann, & de Martino (1996) observed RXJ0019 with the IUE telescope using both the short-wavelength prime (SWP; 1250-1900 Å) and long wavelength prime (LWP; 2000-3200 Å) spectrographs. The 15.85 hr periodicity, and both primary and secondary dips are present in the UV light curves; primary minima were deeper in the LWP spectra (32% modulation) than in the SWP spectra (22%). The He II 1640 Å line is present and shows a stronger modulation than the continuum (43%). Fits to the UV spectrum using a simple model for an irradiated donor and accretion disk (Reinsch *et al.* 1996a) yield parameters consistent with masses of ~ 1.0 and $\sim 1.5 M_{\odot}$ for the white dwarf and donor star, respectively (Gänsicke, Beuermann & de Martino 1996).

In this work we present our spectroscopic observations of RXJ0019. The radial velocity work of Beuermann *et al.* (1995), was completed in four days. In contrast, our observations span ~ 2.5 yr and allow us to probe the spectral features and the stability of radial velocity measurements over a much longer baseline. In §2, we present our observations and the data reduction. In §3 we present the results from the spectral analysis and the radial velocity

study, including the P Cygni profiles and transient high-velocity emission lines, which we interpret as emission from bipolar jets. Similar high-velocity lines have been seen in recent infrared spectra reported by Quaintrell & Fender (1998). In §4 we discuss our attempts to model the complete binary system using the constraints of these and previously published data on RXJ0019.

2. Observations & Data Reduction

We monitored RXJ0019 at the Michigan-Dartmouth-M.I.T. (MDM) observatory on Kitt Peak between 1994 December and 1997 February. Most observations were made with the 1.3^m telescope, the Mark III spectrograph, a 600 *l*/mm grism, and a CCD detector. In most cases, our instrument configuration yielded a pixel size of 1.4 Å and a resolution of $\sim 3\text{Å}$ (FWHM). On occasion we used a different CCD with wider pixels and a resolution (FWHM) of $\sim 5\text{Å}$ (see Table 1). The 1995 November observing run was made on the 2.4^m telescope with similar instrumentation and $\sim 3\text{Å}$ resolution (FWHM). In all, we acquired 757 spectra of RXJ0019 with a total exposure of 180 ks. Table 1 summarizes the MDM observations.

We also monitored the source at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory (FLWO) located on Mt. Hopkins, AZ between 1994 July and 1995 February. We used the 1.5^m telescope and the FAST spectrograph (Fabricant & Cheimets 1994) and a pair of 1200 *l*/mm gratings. The twenty-five FLWO observations, which are listed in Table 2, were of longer duration and of higher spectral resolution ($\sim 2\text{Å}$ FWHM) than the MDM observations. To maintain a moderate range of wavelength coverage, these data were obtained in alternating portions, one red (5750-6700 Å) and one blue (4550-5500 Å).

Given that the orbital period of RXJ0019 is 15.85 hr, it is not possible to observe one

complete cycle in a night. Furthermore, as the period of RXJ0019 is in a near 2:3 resonance with the day/night cycle, it is difficult to obtain uniform phase coverage. However, these shortcomings are partially circumvented by our 2.5 year observing campaign. During a typical MDM observing run, we pointed at RXJ0019 several times per night (roughly every two hours), and we obtained five 200-s exposures per pointing. Occasionally we continued to take 200-s exposures of the target for several hours to search for effects such as non-orbital fluctuations in the velocities of the emission lines.

We used standard IRAF routines to reduce the raw CCD images into one-dimensional extracted spectra and also to calibrate the spectra in wavelength and flux. In performing the wavelength calibrations, we used the lamp spectra taken immediately before and after each pointing sequence to interpolate the dispersion solution to the mid-exposure time of a given observation.

3. Analysis and Results

3.1. Radial Velocity and Period Determination

Radial velocity measurements of RXJ0019 were extracted with IRAF tools that compute cross correlations between two continuum-subtracted spectra. In practice, the first of these spectra is a “template”, which is selected to have very nearly the same spectral features as the object spectra. The velocity of an object spectrum, relative to a restframe which is defined by the template, is determined by cross-correlating the two spectra. All of the MDM observations of RXJ0019 ($1.4\text{\AA}/\text{pixel}$) were cross-correlated with a template spectrum constructed from the average of the 1996 January observations. Because there is P Cygni absorption associated with the Balmer lines (see below), the cross correlations were limited to wavelength intervals selected for He II lines located at $\lambda\lambda 4200, 4542, 4686$,

5412, and the series in the range of 6004–6407 Å. A similar analysis was conducted with the FLWO data, using the mean FLWO spectrum as the cross correlation template. Finally, prior to radial velocity determinations, each of the two template spectra was artificially redshifted so that the He II line centers were maximally consistent with their corresponding laboratory wavelengths. The He II line profiles in RXJ0019 are relatively narrow and symmetric, and we do not expect significant systematic errors to arise from this procedure.

For the MDM spectra, the radial velocities were computed and then screened for discrepant values by flagging results that are statistically inconsistent ($\geq 3\sigma$) from the other 4 measurements obtained during the same telescope pointing. Only 19 spectra were eliminated for this reason. Additionally, one set of observations (December 1995b), produced unusually large variances within groups of velocity measurements, and we eliminated these 60 spectra from further analyses. Thus, we derived a total of 691 velocity measurements from the two observatories. We used the mid-exposure time of each observation to convert the velocities to the heliocentric rest frame before proceeding with the timing investigations.

The binary period search was performed with a Stellingwerf dispersion minimization routine (Stellingwerf 1978). The variance statistic, Θ , is essentially the ratio of the average variance computed in small phase bins to the variance of the data as a whole. When the data are randomly distributed, Θ is approximately unity; however, if the data become ordered, as when folded at the orbital period, Θ is reduced significantly.

The 691 velocity measurements were included in the search for the period that minimizes Θ . The search covered trial periods in the range of 8 - 20 hr. The results are shown in Figure 1, and the ~ 15.85 hr period is easily identifiable. The other two highly significant features are related to the orbital frequency (~ 1.514 cycles per day): the dip at 9.5 hr corresponds to the 1-day alias period (or 2.514 cycles per day), while the dip at 19.1 hr corresponds to a frequency that is one-half of the one-day alias.

We computed a least-squares parabolic fit for the values of Θ to localize the minimum near 15.85 hr. The results are shown in Figure 2, and the derived spectroscopic period and uncertainty are included in Table 3. We folded the radial velocities about the spectroscopic period, as shown in Figure 3, and then we fit the results to a sine function plus a constant to determine the best values for the velocity semi-amplitude, the systemic velocity for the binary system, and the time of maximum velocity. The best values for the binary parameters are given in Table 3.

Our spectroscopic period is consistent with the comparably accurate photometric period determined by Will & Barwig (1996; see Table 3). However, there is a discrepancy regarding the relative phases of the velocity and photometric modulations. According to Will & Barwig (1996), a primary minimum should occur at HJD 2450014.273 ± 0.007 , which corresponds to a spectroscopic phase of 0.171 ± 0.013 . However, if one assumes that the binary orbit is circular and that the accretion disk is circularly symmetric, then the photometric minimum should occur at the time of superior conjunction of the accreting star. This conjunction corresponds to a spectroscopic phase of 0.25. The phase offset between spectroscopic and photometric results is therefore 0.079 ± 0.013 , a discrepancy with a significance of 6σ . Using data from 1992 August, Beuermann et al. (1995) also reported that photometric minimum occurs 0.07 in phase earlier than one would expect from the spectroscopic ephemeris, so this phase offset appears to be a stable characteristic of the binary system.

3.2. Deep Optical Spectrum

Our extended monitoring provides not only radial velocity measurements, but also about 160 ks of selected exposure at a resolution of $\sim 3\text{\AA}$. While peculiarities in the spectrum of RXJ0019, *e.g.*, the extremely large $\text{He II}(\lambda 4686)/\text{H}\beta$ ratio, have been known since its

discovery, the high signal-to-noise of this new data set allows us to discern previously unreported spectral features.

The different instrumental configurations have different spectral bandpasses, but a substantial subset of the MDM observations has a common region of overlap in the range of 4280-7000Å (see Table 1). We constructed a “deep rest frame” spectrum using the 398 observations obtained during 1995 June, 1995 December, 1996 January, and 1996 December. Each of the flux-calibrated spectra was corrected for the Doppler motion predicted by the spectroscopic ephemerides (Table 3), and the average of these results is the rest frame spectrum shown in the top panel of Figure 4. The P Cygni absorption features at $H\beta$ and $H\gamma$ are clearly evident, as is the strength of the He II lines (e.g., He II $\lambda 4686$) relative to lines of He I or H.

To enhance the visibility of subtle features in the rest frame spectrum, we fitted the continuum to a smooth function and then subtracted the continuum from the rest frame flux densities. The results are shown in the bottom panel of Figure 4 for the wavelength interval of 5600-6450Å. This spectrum shows the $n \rightarrow 5$ transition series in He II, while the stronger He II lines in Figure 4 arise from $n \rightarrow 3$ and $n \rightarrow 4$ transition series. To our knowledge, this is the most detailed set of He II features observed in any celestial object. In Table 4 we list all of the emission lines detected in the rest frame spectrum, along with the line identifications (when known) and the measured equivalent widths. The high ionization levels represented by these spectral features are very likely caused by photoionization related to the luminous, supersoft X-ray emission in this system.

3.3. Transient Jets

In addition to the strong emission lines and P Cygni Balmer profiles, some of the spectra of RXJ0019 exhibit red-shifted and blue-shifted pairs of high-velocity lines. One such example, shown in Figure 5, is the red and blue sequence of 900 s exposures obtained at FLWO on 1994 December 12. The high velocity lines are clearly separated from the central line, and we interpret these results as the discovery of transient episodes of bipolar outflow in RXJ0019. High-velocity line pairs are evident at $H\alpha$, $H\beta$, and $\text{He II } \lambda 4686$ with approximate equivalent widths of 3.0, 0.5, 1.0 Å, respectively. These lines are transient on time scales of months. We also observed them during the MDM observing runs of 1994 December, 1996 May, and 1996 December, while they were not detected during the remaining observing runs. RXJ0019 is now the second supersoft X-ray source to show high-velocity emission lines. The LMC source RX J0513.9-6951 shows weak pairs of emission lines near $\text{He II } \lambda 4686$ and $H\beta$ corresponding to an outflow velocity of approximately of 3900 km s^{-1} (Crampton *et al.* 1996; Southwell *et al.* 1996).

To track the radial velocities of all the spectral components related to $H\alpha$, we first subtracted the continuum from the spectra within $\pm 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ of the line position. We then applied a least-squares fit to the line profiles, using Gaussian functions to represent, whenever present, the central line, P Cygni trough, red outflow, and blue outflow components. In Figure 6 we plot the centroids of the Gaussian fits to the central and high-velocity lines as functions of the orbital phase for $H\alpha$. The radial velocities of these three components move in unison. The results of chi-squared fits to these curves are given in Table 5. All three $H\alpha$ lines are in phase with the He II velocity curve to within statistical uncertainties. Furthermore, we find that the K amplitudes of the central, red, and blue lines of $H\alpha$ agree with the K -velocity of the $\text{He II } \lambda 4686$ line to within 2.0σ , 0.1σ , and 1.6σ , respectively (see Table 5).

The presence of P Cygni absorption (and any phase dependence in its strength) will introduce systematic errors into the systemic velocity (and K -velocity) values derived for the central component and the blue outflow line. Both of these effects are seen in Table 5. On the other hand, the red outflow line, unaffected by P Cygni absorption, exhibits a velocity curve in excellent agreement with the HeII results. After correction for the systemic velocity of -57.5 km s^{-1} determined from the HeII lines, the red outflow line has a mean velocity of $815.5 \pm 10.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, while the blue outflow line has a mean velocity of $-851.9 \pm 14.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is predictably shifted downward by P Cygni absorption associated with the central component. We conclude that the jet lines are consistent with a symmetric model in which the outflow velocity is 815 km s^{-1} .

We note that the central and outflow lines at $H\alpha$ are all significantly broader than the spectral resolution of the FLWO instrument ($\sim 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at $H\alpha$). We measure an intrinsic width (FWHM) of about 400 km s^{-1} for the jet lines. This information is used below to constrain geometric properties of the bipolar outflow in RXJ0019.

Finally, in Figure 7 we plot the equivalent width of P Cygni absorption versus orbital phase. There is a clear variation in the strength of the absorption feature as the binary system rotates. In particular, there is an absence of P Cygni absorption from spectroscopic phase 0.8 to about 1.0, which corresponds to the phase quadrant that begins just after the white dwarf passes closest to the observer and ends when the two stars are aligned perpendicular to the line of sight. The orbital phase dependence accounts for much of the hour-to-hour variation in the P Cygni profile noted above. However, the scatter in Figure 7 indicates that there is still a significant random component to the absorption column. We return to a discussion and interpretation of the P Cygni profiles in §4.

4. System Modeling

In this section, we focus on four specific results of the optical spectral analysis to deduce additional binary system parameters and to construct a simple kinematic model for the outflow of material from the vicinity of the accretion disk. The spectral results that we utilize are summarized below:

1. The Doppler velocity curves for He II ($\lambda 4686$), H α , and the red and blue jet lines of H α are in phase and have a common K amplitude of 71 km s^{-1} . One expects the high excitation He II lines, the central H α line, and the jet lines to all originate in different physical regions. The most reasonable interpretation for their common K -velocity is that it reflects the orbital motion of the compact object.

The literature on cataclysmic variables contains many warnings that the velocity curves derived from H emission lines do not yield reliable dynamical results (e.g., Shafter, Szkody, & Thorstensen 1986). In such cases the line profiles indicate a complexity of emission-line sources, and the derived K velocities depend on the manner in which the cross correlations are computed. Nevertheless, in RXJ0019 there are strong arguments in favor of the interpretation that the measured K -velocity does represent the orbital motion of the white dwarf: First, our exclusive use of He II lines minimizes contamination by low-excitation emission components far from the white dwarf. Secondly, we see no evidence of systematic changes in the K velocity over a 2.5 year time scale; moreover, our results are also consistent with the earlier work of Beuermann et al. (1995). Finally, as noted above, we would not expect the central and outflow velocities to exhibit the same velocity modulations unless there were a common origin for their motion. Our interpretation should, of course, be reassessed as future optical measurements are made available.

2. The red and blue satellite lines, when present, have mean projected velocities of 815 km s⁻¹ in opposite directions.
3. The intrinsic width of these outflow lines is ~ 400 km s⁻¹.
4. P Cygni profiles are associated only with the Balmer lines, and are most pronounced on the H β and higher transitions. The strength of the P Cygni profiles varies systematically with orbital phase.

4.1. Monte Carlo Constraints on Binary System Parameters

We utilize a model-dependent Monte Carlo method for estimating a number of the binary system parameters for RXJ0019, starting with only the known orbital period (P) and the K amplitude (71.2 ± 3.6 km s⁻¹) of the accreting compact object, which is presumed to be a white dwarf. The mass function can then be expressed as:

$$f(m) = \frac{M_{donor} \sin^3 i}{\left(1 + \frac{M_{wd}}{M_{donor}}\right)^2} = \frac{K^3 P}{2\pi G} = 0.0247 \pm 0.0040 M_{\odot}. \quad (1)$$

It is apparent from the small value of the mass function that in order for the donor star to have a plausible mass, either the inclination angle must be small, or the mass of the accretor must be large compared to that of the donor.

If we utilize a few model-dependent ideas about how an accreting binary such as RXJ0019 may evolve, we can combine the measured mass function with a Monte Carlo sampling of evolving binaries to infer a substantial number of system parameters. Such an approach was developed previously to infer the system parameters for the binary X-ray pulsar GROJ1744-28 (Rappaport & Joss 1997). We briefly outline that method here.

We start with a short period binary system consisting of a donor star and a white

dwarf with initial masses in the following ranges:

$$0.8 \leq M_{donor}/M_{\odot} \leq 3.0 \quad (2)$$

$$0.6 \leq M_{wd}/M_{\odot} \leq 1.2 . \quad (3)$$

We begin the binary evolution calculation only after the formation of the white dwarf. This occurs at the end point of a common envelope phase in which the giant progenitor of the white dwarf has its envelope stripped off by the companion star (the “donor” in the supersoft X-ray source phase). The lower limit of $\sim 0.8 M_{\odot}$ for the mass of the donor star is based on the requirement that the binary system eventually reaches a period of 15.85 hr. Donor stars with lower initial mass will come into Roche-lobe contact while on the main sequence with binary periods much shorter than 15 hr and will evolve toward shorter orbital periods (except in the case of extreme X-ray heating effects; see discussion below). In initially wider orbits, such low-mass donors would have insufficient time within the age of the Galaxy to evolve and fill their Roche lobe. The lower limit for the mass of the white dwarf ($0.6 M_{\odot}$) is required to attain the high surface temperatures observed in supersoft X-ray sources (Iben 1982; HBNR; RDS). Finally, the upper limit of $1.2 M_{\odot}$ for the mass of the white dwarf is taken from the population synthesis study of RDS.

Our approach is to choose, via a Monte Carlo method, the post-common envelope properties of the binary system, evolve the binary to the point where its orbital period reaches 15.85 hr, and then find the orbital inclination angle that will yield the observed K velocity of the white dwarf. If the orbital period never reaches 15.85 hr, then the system is discarded. If the system does reach a state in which it could be a plausible match for RXJ0019 (i.e., with a 15.85 hr period and an orbital velocity for the white dwarf at least as large as the observed K -velocity), then the system parameters at that point are saved. The cumulative statistics for all the binaries that produce RXJ0019-like systems form probability distributions for the orbital inclination angle, donor mass, core mass of the

donor, and the luminosity of the donor. We may then impose further constraints on the RXJ0019-like systems, such as the mass transfer rate. This yields probability distributions for the system parameters that are consistent with the mass transfer rate being sufficiently high to support steady (or quasi-steady) nuclear burning on the white dwarf, $\sim 3 \times 10^{-8} < \dot{M} < 10^{-6} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Iben 1982; HBNR; RDS).

In principle, the best way to choose the initial (*i.e.*, immediately after the common envelope phase) binary system parameters is from the output of a population synthesis code (see, e.g., RDS). However, for purposes of this exercise it is sufficient to choose the masses of the white dwarf and the donor star with uniform probability over the ranges that are permitted. We must also specify the initial core mass, M_c , of the donor star. For simplicity, we pick the core mass from a uniform distribution over the range $0 < M_c < 0.15 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, above which point the orbital period of the system would always be longer than 15.85 hr. The evolution is started with the stars separated by a distance which corresponds to the secondary star just filling its Roche lobe. Further details about the binary evolution code are given by DiStefano *et al.* (1997).

This procedure of choosing initial binary parameters and then evolving the system to see if it would become a near match to RXJ0019 was carried out 2×10^6 times. We recorded the binary parameters for 437,000 successful systems. In each case the results were weighted by a factor of $\sin^2 i / \cos i$, which takes into account the probability of observing randomly oriented systems with the correct inclination angle to produce the observed mass function (see Rappaport & Joss 1997). The results are shown in Figure 8 where probability histograms are given for the inclination angle and mass of the donor star. The current value of the binary period of RXJ0019 can be approached from either longer periods (with $\dot{P} < 0$), or after the binary has passed through its minimum orbital period (with $\dot{P} > 0$), and we keep track of these cases separately. Each histogram in Figure 8 also displays

shaded regions that indicate those binaries with moderately high rates of mass transfer ($3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} < \dot{M} < 10^{-7} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$; single hatching) and those with very high rates of mass transfer ($\dot{M} > 10^{-7} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$; double hatching). The unhatched histograms include all mass transfer rates.

From this figure we learn that the values of binary period and the emission-line K - velocity, combined with acceptable values for the initial stellar masses, constrain the inclination angle to the range of $16^{\circ} - 40^{\circ}$, regardless of the mass transfer rate. Furthermore, if we assume that there is at least a modest rate of mass transfer ($\dot{M} > 3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), then the inclination angle is *unlikely* to be greater than 30° . Both of these inclination limits can be avoided only if (i) the K velocity that we have used is not the correct one to be associated with the orbital motion of the accreting star, or (ii) one allows for more extreme constituent masses, *e.g.*, a $1.5 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ donor star orbiting an $8 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ black hole, or a $0.5 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ donor star orbiting a $1.3 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ white dwarf — both of which would yield an inclination angle of $\sim 60^{\circ}$. With regard to point (ii), it is possible that some evolutionary scenario other than the one utilized in our Monte Carlo study can explain persistent supersoft X-ray sources. We note that van Teeseling & King (1998) have recently proposed a model wherein the mass transfer and binary evolution in some supersoft X-ray sources are driven by wind loss from an X-ray irradiated, low-mass donor star.

One difficulty with a small inclination angle arises in the effort to explain the photometric light curve (Will & Barwig 1996). This curve shows a highly repeatable modulation at the binary period with a full depth of 0.5 mag. It seems difficult to explain such behavior with inclination angles as small as $20^{\circ} - 30^{\circ}$ (see, *e.g.*, Schandl, Meyer-Hofmeister & Meyer 1997). Alternatively, the results could signify substantial vertical structure in the accretion disks of supersoft X-ray sources, perhaps as a consequence of the high rate of mass transfer. Some hydrodynamic disk simulations do show impressive

structures with significant vertical extent (Blondin 1997).

4.2. Kinematic Model for the Jet Lines

We now examine more closely at least one possible interpretation of the jet lines. In this study, we interpret these lines as representing material flowing away from the accretion disk. In order to constrain the geometry of the jet, we adopt a very simple model for the outflow, which is based on spectral results (1) and (2) in §4.0. We define a jet cone into which the emitting atoms are released, all with speed v_j . The cone is defined by a tilt angle, θ_j (with respect to the angular momentum axis of the binary), an opening angle of half-width, α , and an azimuthal angle, ϕ_j . Note that θ_j and ϕ_j refer to the symmetry axis of the jet. Material is assumed to come off uniformly (per unit solid angle) within the cone during the times when the outflow lines are visible. There are jet cones located on either side of the accretion disk. In principle, the four jet parameters need not have identical values above and below the disk, and ideally we should fit for these parameters independently. However, since the blue-shifted line is unfortunately contaminated by P Cygni absorption, we focus our attention on the red-shifted portion of the outflow.

At least five effects contribute to the observed profiles of the jet lines. These include the Doppler shifts projected along the line of sight due to (1) the velocity of the outflowing matter along the symmetry axis of the jet cone; (2) the orbital motion of the whole accretion disk around the center of mass in the binary system; (3) the different directions of atoms in the jet with respect to the axis of the cone; (4) the Keplerian motion of matter in the accretion disk around the white dwarf; and (5) the height above the disk of the jet emission region. The first two of these tend to dominate the position of the center of the line, while the next two tend to broaden the line and perhaps induce asymmetries. The fifth factor influences the detectability of binary motion in the velocities of the jet lines.

We first examine how the centroids of the lines vary with time around the orbit under the assumption that the peak of the line is governed by (1) and (2) only. We later discuss calculations of the full line profile which justify this assumption, and we indicate what limits can be placed on effects (3), (4), and (5).

With no loss of generality, we consider the case in which the binary orbit lies in the xy plane with the observer located in the xz plane at an inclination angle, i , with respect to the z axis. Let $\vec{k}$, $\vec{V}_o$, and $\vec{V}_j$ be, respectively, the unit vector pointing from the observer to the binary, the orbital velocity of the white dwarf, and the velocity of an atom moving along the jet axis with speed v_j in a frame orbiting with the white dwarf. In terms of their Cartesian coordinates, these vectors can be written as:

$$\vec{k} = [-\sin(i), 0, -\cos(i)], \quad (4)$$

$$\vec{V}_o = v_o [-\cos(\omega t), -\sin(\omega t), 0], \quad (5)$$

$$\vec{V}_j = v_j [\sin(\theta_j) \cos(\phi_j), \sin(\theta_j) \sin(\phi_j), \cos(\theta_j)], \quad (6)$$

where ω is the Keplerian angular frequency of the binary, and θ_j and ϕ_j are the instantaneous spherical polar angles describing the orientation of the jet axis. With these definitions, and additional consideration of the systemic radial velocity (γ) of the binary system, one can predict the observed Doppler velocity for the line centroids due to effects (1) and (2) above. If we define V_C and V_{out} as the Doppler velocities for the central and jet outflow lines, respectively, we find:

$$V_C = \gamma + v_o \sin(i) \cos(\omega t) \equiv \gamma + K \cos(\omega t), \quad (7)$$

$$V_{out} = \gamma + K \cos(\omega t) - v_j \sin(i) \sin(\theta_j) \cos(\phi_j) - v_j \cos(i) \cos(\theta_j). \quad (8)$$

We can now utilize our radial velocity measurements for RXJ0019 to extract the parameters associated with the outflow. In order to proceed, we consider two possibilities: (*case a*) the jet axis is tilted by an angle θ_j with respect to the normal to the accretion disk

(which is assumed to lie in the orbital plane), and its projection into the orbital plane is fixed with respect to the line joining the two stars; and (*case b*) the jet axis is normal to the accretion disk, which in turn is tilted with respect to the orbital plane by an angle θ_j . In both cases, the angle θ_j is taken to be a constant; however, in case (a) the azimuth angle, ϕ_j , varies with the orbital period (i.e., $\phi_j = \omega t + \text{constant}$), while in case (b) ϕ_j will vary with the disk precession time which, in general, should be much longer than the orbital period.

In case (a) we can extract a rather tight constraint on θ_j as follows. As shown above, the velocities of the central H α line are consistent with the best fit for the He II lines, i.e. $V_C = V_{HeII}$. Therefore, we may effectively remove the first two terms in equation (8) by subtracting V_{HeII} from the observed Doppler velocities for the red jet line of H α , i.e., $V_{out} - V_{HeII}$. We then compute the average of the subtracted results, which we associate with the last term in equation (8), i.e.:

$$\langle V_{out} - V_{HeII} \rangle = v_j \cos(i) \cos(\theta_j) = 815 \text{ km s}^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

Finally, we isolate the third term in equation (8) by first subtracting both V_{HeII} and the result of equation (9) from equation (8). We then fit a sine curve to the residuals of this operation. The result is:

$$v_j \sin(i) \sin(\theta_j) = 1.8 \pm 14 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ [case (a)]}, \quad (10)$$

for the red outflow line, where the fit was made to the data already folded at the orbital period.

From the above analysis, we can set a combined limit on the inclination angle and the jet tilt angle by dividing equation (10) by (9) to obtain, at 90% confidence:

$$\tan(i) \tan(\theta_j) < 0.027 \text{ [case (a)]}, \quad (11)$$

for the red jet. If we now utilize the lower limit of $\sim 16^\circ$ for the orbital inclination angle, we can set a limit (again at 90% confidence) on the tilt angle:

$$\theta_j < 5^\circ.4 \text{ [case (a)]}. \quad (12)$$

Returning now to case (b), where the azimuthal angle ϕ_j is assumed to vary only on a disk precession timescale, we analyze the radial velocities of the red outflow line in an analogous way to that just described. In this case, we assume only that the putative disk precession period is less than ~ 300 days. (For substantially longer precession periods, the only constraint that we can set on θ_j , ϕ_j , v_j , and i is that the sum of the last two terms in equation (8) equals 815 km s^{-1} during the epoch of our observations.) For precession periods less than ~ 300 days (i.e., where ϕ_j has moved through an angle much greater than 2π over the interval of our observations), we can still isolate the middle term in equation (8) to set constraints on θ_j and i . Again, we subtracted V_{HeII} from the time series of red outflow velocities. We then carried out a Stellingwerf period search on the residuals of this operation. This search covered possible disk precession periods ranging from 0.5 to 300 d. From this analysis we derive a limit on the central term in equation (8) of:

$$v_j \sin(i) \sin(\theta_j) < 30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ [case (b)]}, \quad (13)$$

at the 90% confidence level. In this case, the limit analogous to that given by equation (11) is

$$\tan(i) \tan(\theta_j) < 0.037 \text{ [case (b)]}. \quad (14)$$

Again, if we adopt a lower limit on the inclination angle of 16° , we find a corresponding limit on the tilt angle of:

$$\theta_j < 7.3^\circ \text{ [case (b)]}. \quad (15)$$

Finally, as noted previously, the blue outflow line at $H\alpha$ is blended with P Cygni absorption, and the deconvolution of these features is beset with systematic problems

related to our limited spectral resolution and the uncertainties in the specific line profiles for each component. Nevertheless if we do investigate the radial velocity measurements of the blue outflow line for evidence of a tilt angle with respect to the binary plane, as we have done for the red line, then the corresponding 90% confidence limits to equations (12) and (15) are 10° and 15° , respectively, consistent with the results for the red line.

From the above analyses, we conclude simply that the jet axes are essentially perpendicular to the orbital plane of the binary.

4.3. Emission-line Profiles

In the context of our simple kinematic model, we now consider two effects which would broaden the emission lines associated with the outflow. Briefly, these are (1) the finite opening (half) angle, α , of the jet, and (2) Keplerian motion of matter in the accretion disk that may feed the jet. We consider each of these in turn, and we assume in the calculations below that the outflow emission region is optically thin.

The opening angle of the jet affects both the width and the shape of the outflow lines. In order to constrain this opening angle, we adopt a simple model wherein the jet cone has a uniform flux of emitting atoms per unit solid angle over the entire cone. We then utilize equations (4) through (6) to integrate the contributions over atoms moving in different directions within the outflow. However, equation (6) must be generalized to refer to the velocity of *any* atom within the outflow, and we apply these considerations to a cone of atoms moving with a component along the red jet axis. Since we concluded in the preceding section that the jet cone axis is essentially normal to the orbital plane, *i.e.*, $\theta_j = 0$, equation (8) becomes:

$$V_a = \gamma + K \sin(\omega t) - v_j \sin(i) \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi) + v_j \cos(i) \cos(\theta), \quad (16)$$

where V_a is the Doppler velocity of a single atom in the jet whose direction is given by θ with respect to the red jet axis. In order to compute the line profile due to the range of angles within the jet cone, one simply integrates the contributions from all atoms with $\theta < \alpha$ (the half width of the opening angle), and $0 < \phi < 2\pi$. After Doppler correcting the spectra to the rest frame of the accretion disk, one needs to sum only the contributions from the last two terms in equation (16) to find the line profile due to the finite opening angle of the jet.

There is potentially a wealth of information in the profiles of the jet lines, which we earlier determined to have an intrinsic FWHM of $\sim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In principle, the central wavelength, width, asymmetry, and movement of the jet lines allow one to determine the outflow velocity as well as the origin and opening angle of the jet. However, given our modest instrumental resolution, we may only derive coarse constraints on these parameters.

First, we calculated a grid of theoretical model profiles for various values of the opening half-angle, α , and the orbital inclination, i . For each grid point the jet line profile was computed and then convolved with the instrumental resolution ($\sigma = \text{FWHM} / 2.355 = 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for the FLWO data). Next, the model profiles were renormalized in flux and shifted in velocity to best match the observed profile of the red jet line, using a chi-square fit over the range of $6568 - 6620 \text{ \AA}$. The red jet line was isolated by fitting the central $\text{H}\alpha$ line to a Gaussian and then subtracting the best fit from the spectrum. The line profile is distinctly asymmetric, falling off more steeply toward longer wavelength, as do the majority of the theoretical model profiles. Finally, we constructed contours of constant (minimum) chi square values in the α - i plane. The results are shown in Figure 9. Because the model is so simplistic, and after comparing the fits to the observations, we judge a reduced chi square value below 5.0 to be a reasonable representation of the observations; this contour is shown with a darkened line in Figure 9. Our simple jet model prefers a binary inclination angle

in the range of $35^\circ - 60^\circ$ with a jet opening half-angle in the range of $40^\circ \gtrsim \alpha \gtrsim 15^\circ$.

If these rough limits on the opening half-angle are correct, then the jet outflows in RXJ0019 are not well collimated. Alternatively, the jets could be well collimated, while the line widths are increased by other effects, including a range of velocities within the line formation region. One particular additional cause of line broadening is motion of the disk material orbiting the compact star. The Keplerian velocity at radial distance r is

$$v_{disk} = \left(\frac{GM_{wd}}{r} \right)^{1/2} = 1150 \left(\frac{M_{wd}}{M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} r_{10}^{-1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1}, \quad (17)$$

where r_{10} is the radial distance from the compact object (assumed to be a white dwarf) in units of 10^{10} cm. If the accretion disk lies in the orbital plane and the system is viewed from an inclination angle, i , then the maximum Doppler velocity due to matter orbiting in the accretion disk in an annulus at radius r is $\pm 1150 (M_{wd}/M_\odot)^{1/2} r_{10}^{-1/2} \sin(i)$ km s $^{-1}$. However, from the summary of spectral facts cited at the beginning of §4, we see that the FWHM of the H α lines (central and outflow lines) is only 400 km s $^{-1}$. Therefore, we conclude that the regions of the accretion disk contributing to the H α emission are located relatively far from the the white dwarf at radial distances

$$r \gtrsim 2.6 \times 10^{10} \left(\frac{M_{wd}}{M_\odot} \right) \left[\frac{\sin(i)}{\sin(25^\circ)} \right]^2 \text{ cm}. \quad (18)$$

For a white dwarf of $1 M_\odot$ and a radius of $\sim 5 \times 10^8$ cm, the H α emission region must lie at least ~ 40 white dwarf radii from the compact star. This suggests that the 815 km s $^{-1}$ jet is not produced near the compact star, but rather emanates from a region further out in the disk. The escape velocity from a $1 M_\odot$ white dwarf is ~ 7000 km s $^{-1}$, so that the observed projected outflow speed of 815 km s $^{-1}$ again suggests that the ejection is taking place relatively far from the surface of the white dwarf.

A final caveat in regard to possible broadening due to the Keplerian motion of the disk material is in order. If the outflow originates very near the compact star, *e.g.*, if the outflow

were jet-like, and the mechanism driving the outflow could remove the Keplerian motion of the inner disk from the ejected matter, then perhaps the observed Doppler velocities would not have any broadening due to the rotation of the disk material. In this case, our limit for the inner radius of the emission line region given by equation (18) might not be valid.

Lastly, we comment on the fact that both the red and blue outflow emission lines, when present, always have approximately the same intensity. This implies that the emission region must be far enough from the disk that the outflow on either side is equally visible. On the other hand, the emission region cannot be so far from the disk that the orbital Doppler effect that we clearly detect, would be smeared out by emission originating over different orbital phases.

The first requirement implies the obvious geometric constraint on H , the height above the disk at which the emission takes place. We may express the constraint on H relative to the semimajor axis of the orbit of the compact star, a_x :

$$\frac{H}{a_x} > \frac{R_D}{a_x \tan(i)} = R_D \frac{(1+q)}{a q \tan(i)} = \frac{0.46f}{\tan(i)} \frac{(1+q)^{2/3}}{q} \quad (19)$$

where R_D is the radius of the accretion disk, q is the mass ratio M_{don}/M_{wd} , and f is the fraction of the Roche lobe of the compact star that the accretion disk occupies. The approximation for R_D/a in equation (19) is accurate to within $\sim 10\%$ over the range $q < 2$. For typical system parameters and $i \simeq 25^\circ$ and $f = 0.7$, we expect $H/a_x \gtrsim 1$.

The Doppler modulation of the jet lines at the binary period constrains the upper limit for the emitting region above the accretion disk. This limit can be expressed as:

$$H < v_j/\omega = \frac{815 \text{ km s}^{-1}}{\omega \cos(i)} . \quad (20)$$

We can also cast this limit in dimensionless form by dividing by a_x , the semimajor axis of the orbit of the compact star:

$$\frac{H}{a_x} < \frac{v_j}{\omega a_x} = \frac{v_j}{K/\sin(i)} = 11.5 \tan(i) . \quad (21)$$

For $i \simeq 25^\circ$, we find that $H/a_x < 5.3$.

In summary, if the emitting region is no further above or below the accretion disk than $\sim 6 a_x$, nor any closer than $\sim 1 a_x$, then both the red and the blue jet lines should be approximately equally visible while retaining the net Doppler motion at the binary period, as we have observed.

5. P Cygni Profiles

As shown in Figure 7 the strength of the P Cygni absorption varies with orbital phase. The strength of the absorption feature is a minimum at spectroscopic phases 0.8 to 1.0, when the central line is moving from its rest wavelength position to its maximum redshift. A similar result was reported by Reinsch *et al.* 1996b. If the shape of the P Cygni trough and its central wavelength are independent of orbital phase, then the Doppler motion in the central line could reduce the net absorption strength near phase 0.5. Since that is nearly the opposite of what we observe, we conclude that the phase dependence of the absorption strength is intrinsic to the geometry of the absorbing gas.

The nature and origin of the gas responsible for the P Cygni absorption remain a mystery. Our first hypothesis was that the gas responsible for the jet outflow might also be the gas that causes P Cygni absorption. The phase dependence of P Cygni absorption implies an opening half-angle $\sim 100^\circ$ for the absorbing gas which is much larger than the $\sim 40^\circ$ upper limit for α in the jet. Furthermore, the absorption cone is not only wide, but it is azimuthally asymmetric, while the jet outflow has been shown to be closely aligned with the binary spin axis. Finally, the jet and P Cygni components appear to have differences in their persistence time scales. Although the P Cygni absorption strength is variable, it is apparent in all of the observing runs. By contrast, the jet lines are present only in about

one-third of our observing epochs. Therefore, the very different behavior between the jet lines and the P Cygni absorption features leads us to believe that they arise from different physical regions in the binary system.

6. Summary and Conclusions

1. We have made spectroscopic observations of RX J0019.8+2156 over a 2.5 year baseline, collecting over 200 ks of data on this source.
2. Radial velocity studies of the He II $\lambda 4686\text{\AA}$ emission line have yielded a Doppler curve with a velocity half-amplitude of $71.2 \pm 3.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (see Table 3). The binary mass function is $0.0247 \pm 0.0040 \text{ M}_{\odot}$.
3. The period as determined from our radial velocity study is in agreement with the photometrically determined value obtained from the literature. However, the phases determined by the two methods disagree by 28° (6σ significance), assuming that the binary orbit is circular and the accretion disk is circularly symmetric.
4. The summed rest-frame spectrum shows extraordinary He II series emission. Most notably, the $n \rightarrow 5$ transition series is visible for values of n up to 22.
5. A model-dependent population synthesis study indicates that if the binary parameters are to be consistent with the measured mass function, then $16^{\circ} \lesssim i \lesssim 40^{\circ}$. Furthermore, if the system also has a mass ratio which is capable of driving high mass transfer rates (in the context of the van den Heuvel *et al.* model), then the orbital inclination angle is $16^{\circ} \lesssim i \lesssim 30^{\circ}$. However, such a low inclination is difficult to reconcile with published photometry, which shows a pronounced primary and secondary dip.

6. We discovered the existence of low-velocity bipolar jets that are revealed as red-shifted and blue-shifted emission lines that flank $H\alpha$, $H\beta$, and $\text{He II } \lambda 4686$. These lines are transient and were detected only during the 1994 Dec, 1996 May, and 1996 Dec. After correcting for the gamma velocity of the system, these lines are nearly symmetrical around the central line with a velocity of $\pm 815 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.
7. The outflow lines also exhibit orbital Doppler motion with the same amplitude and phase as that determined by the He II lines. This implies that the jets are oriented nearly perpendicular to the orbital plane.
8. The profiles of the outflow lines potentially possess a great deal of information. While the limited spectral resolution limits our analysis, we are nevertheless able to conclude that the range of inclination angles is likely to be $35^\circ \lesssim i \lesssim 60^\circ$, and the corresponding range of opening half-angles $40^\circ \gtrsim \alpha \gtrsim 15^\circ$, if the intrinsic width in the line profiles is dominated by the opening geometry of the jet.
9. In order for both red and blue outflow lines to be observable at all orbital phases and also exhibit the Doppler curve of the white dwarf, the emission must originate from a distance H from the disk in the range $1 \lesssim H/a_x \lesssim 6$, where a_x is the semimajor axis of the orbit of the compact object.
10. We discovered that the strength of the P Cygni profiles is variable with orbital phase. The $\sim 100^\circ$ opening half-angle of the absorbing gas and its asymmetry with respect to the line joining the stars is distinctly different from the geometry of the gas emanating from the jets. For this reason, and because of the transient nature of the outflow lines, it is unlikely that the absorption and jet components have the same origin.

Acknowledgements: We thank John Thorstensen, Jules Halpern, Mike Eracleous, and Bob Barr for helping with the observations at MDM Observatory. Jim Peters and Perry

Berlind made observations for us at FLWO, and Susan Tokarz helped with the reduction of FLWO spectra. Travel support to RR and CB for these optical observations was provided by NSF grant AST-9315074. This work was also supported, in part, by NASA grant NAG5-3011.

REFERENCES

- Alcock, C., et al. 1996, MNRAS, 280, L49
- Beuermann, K., et al. 1995, A&A, 294, L1
- Blondin, J. 1997, BAAS, 29, 779
- Cowley, A.P., Schmidtke, P.C., Hutchings, J.B., Crampton, D., & McGrath, T.K. 1993, ApJ, 418, L63
- Cowley, A.P., Schmidtke, P.C., Crampton, D., & Hutchings, J.B. 1990, ApJ, 350, 288
- Crampton, D., Hutchings, J.B., Cowley, A.P., Schmidtke, P.C., McGrath, T.K., O’Donoghue, D., & Harrop-Allin, M.K. ApJ, 456, 320
- DiStefano, R., Nelson, L., Lee, W., Wood, T. H., & Rappaport, S. 1997, Thermonuclear Spornovae, NATO ASI, eds. P. Ruiz Lapuente, R. Canal, & J. Iperu, (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 147
- Eggleton, P. 1983, ApJ, 268, 386
- Fabricant, D. & Cheimets, P. 1994, in Optical Astronomy from the Earth and the Moon, ASP Conference Series, vol. 55, eds. D. M. Pyper & R. J. Angione (San Francisco: ASP), 161
- Gänsicke, B.T., Beuermann, K., & de Martino, D. 1996, in Supersoft X-Ray Sources, ed. J. Greiner, (Berlin:Springer-Verlag), 107
- Grabelsky, D.A., Cohen, R.S., Bronfman, L., Thaddeus, P., & May, J. 1987, ApJ, 315, 122
- Greiner, J. 1996, in Supersoft X-Ray Sources, ed. J. Greiner, (Berlin:Springer-Verlag), 1996, 299

- Greiner, J., & Wenzel, W. 1995, A&A, 294, L5
- Iben, I., Jr. 1982, ApJ, 259, 244
- Long, K.S., Helfand, D.J., & Grabelsky, D.A. 1981, ApJ, 248, 925
- Motch, C., Hasinger, G., & Pietsch, W. 1994, A&A, 284, 827
- Nomoto, K. 1982, ApJ, 253, 798
- Quaintrell, H. & Fender, R. P. 1998, A&A, in press
- Rappaport, S., DiStefano, R., & Smith, J.D. 1994, ApJ426, 692
- Rappaport, S. & Joss, P.C. 1997, ApJ, 486, 435
- Reinsch, K., Van Teeseling, A., Beuermann, K. & Abbott, T.M.C. 1996, A&A, 309, L11
- Reinsch, K., Van Teeseling, A., Beuermann, K. & Thomas, H.-C. 1996, Proc. of the
Intl. Conf. on X-ray Astronomy, Wurzburg, Germany, eds. H. U. Zimmermann, J.
Trumper, & H. York, (Garching: MPE Report 263) p. 183
- Schandl, S. & Meyer-Hofmeister, E. & Meyer, F. 1997, A&A, 318, 73
- Shafter, A. W., Szkody, P., & Thorstensen, J. R. 1986, ApJ, 308, 765
- Southwell, A. W. *et al.* 1996, in Supersoft X-Ray Sources, ed. J. Greiner, (Berlin:Springer-Verlag), 165
- Stellingwerf, R.F. 1978, ApJ, 224, 953
- van den Heuvel, E.P.J., Bhattacharya, D., Nomoto, K., & Rappaport, S.A. 1992, A&A,
262, 97
- van Teeseling, A., Heise, J., & Paerels, F. 1994, A&A, 281, 119

van Teeseling, A. & King, A. 1998, submitted to MNRAS

Voges, W. 1993, *Advances in Space Research*, 12, 391

Will, T. & Barwig, H. 1996, in *Supersoft X-Ray Sources*, ed. J. Greiner, Berlin:Springer-Verlag, 99

Figure Legends

Fig. 1.— Stellingwerf period search using radial velocity measurements of RXJ0019. Minima in the variance statistic correspond to periodicities in the data.

Fig. 2.— Enlargement and fit to the minimum in the variance statistic near a trial period of 15.85 hr.

Fig. 3.— Heliocentric velocities of the HeII line folded about the 15.85151 hr period and a sine wave fit. The data span an interval of ~ 2.5 years.

Fig. 4.— *Top*: Deep spectrum of RXJ0019 in the emission-line rest frame (see text), prior to continuum removal. *Bottom*: Enlargement of the 5600-6450Å portion of the deep spectrum after continuum subtraction. Most of the emission lines are the $n \rightarrow 5$ transitions of He II (see Table 4).

Fig. 5.— A fifteen minute observation (per red and blue exposure) of RXJ0019 made with the FAST spectrograph and the FLWO 1.5^m telescope on 12 December 1994. Signatures of high velocity lines and P Cygni type absorption are readily seen. The insets show enlargements of the He II $\lambda 4686$, H β , and H α profiles (left to right).

Fig. 6.— Velocity of the red outflow (*top*), H α (*middle*), and blue outflow (*bottom*) emission as a function of the spectroscopic phase. The H α line is used because it has the strongest outflow components. The solid lines show the best fit sine curves whose parameters are summarized in Table 5.

Fig. 7.— Equivalent width of the H β emission (*bottom*), P Cygni absorption (*middle*), and the ratio of the two (*top*) as a function of the spectroscopic phase. The dots (triangles) represent observations with (without) observed high velocity lines. H β lines are used because the absorption trough is better defined.

Fig. 8.— Histogram of the number of simulated systems as a function of final orbital inclination (left panels) and final donor-star mass (right panels). For each case we separate the systems that reach a 15.85 hr binary period early in their evolution ($\dot{P} < 0$, *top*) from those systems that have evolved past their minimum period ($\dot{P} > 0$, *bottom*). The unshaded histograms represent systems with mass transfer rates below $3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, while the two levels of shaded histograms represent mass transfer rates above 3×10^{-8} and $10^{-7} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ respectively.

Fig. 9.— Contours of the best-fit value of reduced chi square as a function of opening half-angle of the jet and the inclination angle of the binary system.

Table 1. Observations of RXJ0019.8+2156 at MDM

Observing Run	JD–2400000 Start	JD–2400000 End	Exposure (sec)	Bandpass (Å)	Number of Nights	Number of Pointings	Number of Spectra
Dec 94	49696.693	49700.645	300	3800–6200	4	4	33
Jun 95	49875.973	49881.932	100	4100–7200	6	8	88
Oct 95	49991.667	49996.958	200	4600–7575	6	28	140
Nov 95	50025.694	50026.711	200	3885–6300	2	4	23
Dec 95a	50050.580	50051.802	300	4500–7425	2	6	28
Dec 95b	50052.561	50055.740	300	4025–7110	4	13	60
Dec 95c	50056.646	50065.738	300	4280–7125	10	18	115
Jan 96	50086.572	50094.566	300	4280–7140	9	14	87
May 96a	50236.985	50241.967	200	4521–7485	6	6	17
May 96b	50249.974	50270.954	200	4536–7485	22	16	91
Dec 96	50448.601	50454.607	300	4285–7150	6	6	48
Feb 97	50465.630	50470.566	200	4460–7400	6	6	27

Table 2. Observations of RXJ0019.8+2156 at FLWO

JD - 2400000	Exposure	Bandpass
(days)	(sec)	(Å)
49655.738160	1200	4569–5520
49656.755360	900	4574–5344
49656.792080	900	5733–6687
49662.797710	900	4570–5523
49662.819210	900	5729–6683
49686.570080	900	4572–5523
49686.588220	900	5738–6684
49689.720000	900	4571–5522
49689.748870	900	5733–6687
49694.689250	900	4572–5523
49694.709460	900	5734–6688
49695.694650	900	4570–5521
49695.715140	900	5733–6686
49720.573440	900	4574–5529
49720.616370	900	4574–5528
49720.624670	300	5741–6687
49720.653580	900	5741–6687
49724.613470	900	4573–5524
49724.633840	900	5736–6689
49747.577730	900	4571–5522
49747.597220	900	5694–6682
49751.597410	900	4572–5523
49751.617640	900	5752–6727
49754.580720	900	4573–5528
49754.594210	900	5744–6725

Table 3. Orbital Parameters for RXJ0019.8+2156

Parameter	Results	Reference
P (He II velocities, days)	0.6604795 ± 0.0000096	This work
T_S (max. velocity, HJD)	2450002.2713 ± 0.0046	This work
γ (systemic velocity, km s $^{-1}$)	-57.5 ± 2.3	This work
K (velocity semi-amplitude, km s $^{-1}$)	71.2 ± 3.6	This work
Mass function ($M_\odot$)	0.0247 ± 0.0040	This work
P (photometric, days)	0.6604721 ± 0.0000072	Will & Barwig 1996
T_0 (photometric min., HDJ)	2450014.273 ± 0.007	Will & Barwig 1996
P (photographic, days)	0.6604565 ± 0.0000015	Greiner & Wenzel 1995
T_0 (photometric min., HDJ)	2435799.247 ± 0.033	Greiner & Wenzel 1995

Table 4. Lines identified in the rest frame spectrum of RXJ0019.

Obs λ	Species	Rest λ	EW	Notes
3924.8	He II (15-4)	3923.5	0.29	
3976.9	He ϵ	3970.1	0.22	Almost all emission absorbed in P Cygni
4026.3	He II (13-4)	4025.6	0.62	
4109.3	H δ	4101.7		Almost all emission absorbed in P Cygni
4199.7	He II (11-4)	4199.8	0.68	
4343.5	H γ	4340.5	0.43	Strong P Cygni Profile
4542.1	He II (9-4)	4541.6	0.94	
4686.1	He II (4-3)	4685.7	9.72	
4862.3	H β	4861.3	1.61	Strong P Cygni Profile
4930.4			0.33	
4947.7			0.15	
5290.2	O VI	5291	0.90	
5412.0	He II (7-4)	5412.0	1.74	
5665.2			0.14	
5803.0	C IV	5801.5	0.31	
5814.2	C IV	5812.1	0.12	
6004.5	He II (22-5)	6007	0.11	
6037.2	He II (21-5)	6036.7	0.11	
6074.3	He II (20-5)	6074.1	0.17	Part of blend?
6117.8	He II (19-5)	6118.2	0.23	
6170.6	He II (18-5)	6170.6	0.18	
6200.7			0.24	
6234.3	He II (17-5)	6233.8	0.32	
6311.7	He II (16-5)	6310.8	0.30	At edge of absorption band
6374.5	Fe X	6374.5	0.52	
6406.9	He II (15-5)	6406.3	0.43	
6502.7			0.42	
6528.1	He II	6527.9	0.60	
6543.9			1.1	
6563.2	H α	6562.8	13.84	P Cygni absorption present
6580.2			1.35	

Table 5. Fitted parameters to the emission-line velocity curves.

Feature	γ^a (km s ⁻¹)	$\gamma - \gamma_{HeII}^a$ (km s ⁻¹)	Phase ^a	K^a (km s ⁻¹)
Red	758.0 ± 10.0	815.5 ± 10.0	0.787 ± 0.032	73.1 ± 14.5
Central	-40.7 ± 7.2	16.8 ± 7.2	0.809 ± 0.033	48.9 ± 10.44
Blue	-909.2 ± 14.9	-851.9 ± 14.9	0.775 ± 0.032	105.5 ± 20.7
HeII $\lambda 4686$	-57.5 ± 2.3	0.00	0.750 ± 0.007	71.2 ± 3.6

^aThe quoted error bars represent the 1σ confidence limit after scaling the error bars on individual measurements such that $\chi^2_\nu = 1$.

















